Agreement Between a Chromogenic Modified Nijmegen-Bethesda Assay and a Qualitative ELISA test in Detection of Factor VIII Inhibitors in Plasma from Persons with Hemophilia A (PwHA)
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Background/Objectives

- An inhibitor response via antibody development to Factor VIII (FVIII) replacement therapies is the most significant complication of hemophilia A treatment today.
- Anti-FVIII antibodies can block a functional area of FVIII (inhibitory) or other sites of FVIII molecule (non-inhibitory).
- Immucor FVIII Antibody Screen, a solid-phase indirect FVIII ELISA, is a qualitative assay designed to detect IgG antibodies against a full-length recombinant human FVIII (plate coated with Kogenate FS™). False-positive ELISA results may occur with lupus anticoagulant and anti-phospholipid antibodies. Thus, results need confirmation by a more specific assay.
- An accurate diagnosis of FVIII inhibitors is essential in guiding patient management, however quantification of inhibitor titers by clot-based functional assays revealed coefficients of variation as high as 50% between laboratories in international proficiency studies. Different reagents and methods used across labs (e.g. plasma sources, absence of or variations in heat deactivation procedures, use of buffered vs. non-buffered plasma) account for the high variability.
- Chromogenic endpoint assays have better specificity than one-stage clot-based assays since the latter depend on fibrin clot formation which is impacted by the presence of heparin, lupus anticoagulants and inhibitors of coagulation factors other than FVIII.
- Thus, chromogenic Modified Nijmegen-Bethesda Assay (MNBA) has potential for standardization and improvement of the FVIII inhibitor assay.

Methods

- To eliminate FVIII depleted plasma as a potential source of variation and to standardize inhibitor titer measurement, a kit for MNBA was developed with these components:
  - IB-PNP: Imidazole Buffered Pooled Normal Plasma (pH = 7.4; 300 mL imidazole, FVIII 95%-99%) to provide a source of native FVIII and prevent pH change during incubation.
  - IB-BSA: Imidazole Buffered Bovine Serum Albumin (pH = 7.4, 4% w/v BSA in 10 mM Imidazole) to replace FVIII depleted plasma in the Nijmegen assay.
  - POS-Citr: Positive FVIII inhibitor control (~1 BU/mL polyclonal anti-human FVIII antibody in a buffered human FVIII-depleted plasma).
  - NEG-Citr: FVIII inhibitor-free human plasma (buffered).
- The MNBA kit components were frozen and stored at -70 °C until use (Figure 1).
- A total of 37 frozen plasma samples from PwHA as well as 33 frozen plasma samples from normal donors were thawed, heat deactivated, and centrifuged. The supernatant was drawn off and stored at < -70 °C until testing with chromogenic MNBA and Immucor ELISA (Figure 2).
- A heat deactivation step was incorporated in sample preparation to dissociate antibody-FVIII complexes and to eliminate remaining FVIII activity in plasma samples from PwHA, thus preventing the likelihood of false negative results.1,4
- Chromogenic MNBA: after thawing the heat deactivated plasma samples and FVIII Inhibitor Kit controls (Test Samples), a 1:1 mixture of IB-PNP, with either undiluted or IB-BSA pre-diluted Test Samples, were prepared (Test Mix, 400 μL).
- A 1:1 Control Mix was prepared with IB-PNP:IB-BSA (400 μL).
- The Control Mix and Test Mixes were incubated for 2h at 37 °C in a water bath followed by a 10 min. incubation on ice.
- After incubation, FVIII activities in the mixed samples were determined on a Siemens BCS® XPS analyzer using Siemens Factor VIII Chromogenic Assay.
- The Test Mix dilution with residual FVIII activity closest to 50% and within the accepted range of 25%-75% was used to calculate the FVIII inhibitor titer in Bethesda Units (Figure 3).
- Anti-FVIII ELISA: after thawing, the heat deactivated plasma samples and kit-provided serum controls were diluted 1:4 with TRIS-BSA-Saline, and 15 μL of each were tested in duplicate according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
- Anti-FVIII antibodies in samples were detected by the anti-human goat IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase and p-nitrophenyl phosphate (PNP) substrate supplied by the kit. The optical density (OD) of the color development was measured at 405 nm using a SoftMax plate reader.

Conclusions

- In this study, we found Immucor anti-FVIII ELISA to be a sensitive assay in the detection of anti-FVIII antibodies, suitable for batch screening of anti-FVIII antibodies and requiring only small plasma volumes.
- A confirmatory inhibition assay, such as chromogenic MNBA, is required after ELISA screening for detection of inhibitor antibodies and titer quantification.
- The MNBA kit shows promise for laboratories seeking a standardized chromogenic FVIII inhibitor assay suitable for clinical management of multi-center clinical studies of PwHA.
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Results

- All normal plasma samples were negative on both assays (Figure 4, Figure 5).
- Results for 33 out of 37 plasma samples from PwHA were concordant between ELISA and chromogenic MNBA (Table 1).
- Four discordant results were borderline positive by anti-FVIII ELISA method but did not show FVIII inhibitory effect by chromogenic MNBA (Figure 4, Figure 5).
- Further analysis of the four discordant samples was performed by testing with a clot-based MNBA. The clot-based MNBA result was concordant with the chromogenic MNBA result (i.e. negative) in all four cases (data not shown).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FVIII Inhibitor detection in plasma samples from PwHA (n=37)</th>
<th>Immucor anti-FVIII ELISA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive% Percent Agreement (PA)</td>
<td>Negative% Percent Agreement (PA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chromogenic (MNBA)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreement%</td>
<td>91% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Percent Agreement (PA)</td>
<td>97.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Percent Agreement (PA)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Percent Agreement (PA)</td>
<td>100.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.01; ** p < 0.05 (a consensus recommendation by ISTH SSC)